









Stability of Nonexpansive Monotone Systems and Application to Recurrent Neural Networks

Diego Deplano*, Mauro Franceschelli*, and Alessandro Giua*

*Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Cagliari, Italy

IEEE 63rd Conference on Decision and Control 2024 – December 16-19 – Milan, Italy

Outline

- Introduction
- Main Results
- 3 Application to Recurrent Neural Networks
- 4 Conclusions

Outline

•000

- Introduction
- Main Results
- Opplication to Recurrent Neural Networks
- 4 Conclusions

Definition: Subtopical systems

Introduction

A dynamical system with state $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at time t, and solution $\varphi(t, x_0) = x(t)$ from the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$, is said to be *topical* if it is:

• Monotone:, i.e., solutions preserve the ordering between the initial conditions:

$$y_0 \le z_0 \Rightarrow \varphi(t, y_0) \le \varphi(t, z_0), \quad \forall y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 0;$$

1-(sub)homogeneous, i.e., solutions are (sub)invariant to rigid transformations:

$$\varphi(t, x_0 + \alpha \mathbf{1}) \le \varphi(t, x_0) + \alpha \mathbf{1}, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \ge 0, t \ge 0.$$

Known fact: trajectories of these systems always converge to equilibrium points (if any exist).

D. Deplano, M. Franceschelli, and A. Giua, "Novel Stability Conditions for Nonlinear Monotone Systems and Consensus in Multi-Agent Networks", in IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control (2023)

Main question: does the result hold for general η -subhomogeneity with $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$?

Diezo Denlano

Definition: Subtopical systems

Introduction 0000

> A dynamical system with state $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at time t, and solution $\varphi(t,x_0) = x(t)$ from the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$, is said to be *topical* if it is:

Monotone: i.e., solutions preserve the ordering between the initial conditions:

$$y_0 \le z_0 \Rightarrow \varphi(t, y_0) \le \varphi(t, z_0), \quad \forall y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 0;$$

1-(sub)homogeneous, i.e., solutions are (sub)invariant to rigid transformations:

$$\varphi(t, x_0 + \alpha \mathbf{1}) \le \varphi(t, x_0) + \alpha \mathbf{1}, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \ge 0, t \ge 0.$$

Known fact: trajectories of these systems always converge to equilibrium points (if any exist).

D. Deplano, M. Franceschelli, and A. Giua, "Novel Stability Conditions for Nonlinear Monotone Systems and Consensus in Multi-Agent Networks", in IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control (2023)

Main question: does the result hold for general η -subhomogeneity with $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$?

Diego Deplano University of Cagliari, Italy





Multi-Robot Systems



Chemical Reaction Networks



Peer-to-Peer Networks



Neural Networks

University of Cagliari, Italy Diego Deplano

- H.L. Smith, "Monotone Dynamical Systems: An Introduction to the Theory of Competitive and Cooperative Systems", in American Mathematical Society, (2008).
- D: Angeli and E. Sontag, "Translation-invariant monotone systems, and a global convergence result for enzymatic futile cycles", in Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications (2008).
- Lemmens and Nussbaum, "Nonlinear Perron-Frobenius Theory", in Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics (2012).
- E. Lovisari, G. Como, and K. Savla, "Stability of monotone dynamical flow networks", in 53th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (2014).
- F. Forni and R. Sepulchre, "Differentially positive systems", IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control (2015).
- S. Manfredi and D. Angeli, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for consensus in nonlinear monotone networks with unilateral interactions", Automatica (2017).
- I.R. Manchester and J.J.E. Slotine, "On existence of separable contraction metrics for monotone nonlinear systems", IFAC-PapersOnLine, (2017).
- A. Sootla and A. Mauroy, "Operator-theoretic characterization of eventually monotone systems", IEEE Control Systems Letters (2018).
- S. Coogan, "A contractive approach to separable Lyapunov functions for monotone systems", Automatica (2019).
- D. Deplano, M. Franceschelli, and A. Giua, "A nonlinear Perron-Frobenius approach for stability and consensus of discrete-time multi-agent systems", in Automatica, (2020).
- S. Jafarpour, A. Davydov, and F. Bullo, "Non-euclidean contraction theory for monotone and positive systems" IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control (2022).
- D. Deplano, M. Franceschelli, and A. Giua, "Novel Stability Conditions for Nonlinear Monotone Systems and Consensus in Multi-Agent Networks", in IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control, (2023).

Diego Deplano

Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Main Results
- 3 Application to Recurrent Neural Networks
- 4 Conclusions

A smooth monotone system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ is η -subhomogeneous with $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ if and only if it is nonexpansive w.r.t. the diagonally weighted supremum norm

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{\infty,[\boldsymbol{\eta}]^{-1}} = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \frac{1}{\eta_i} |x_i|.$$

Diego Deplano

A smooth monotone system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ is η -subhomogeneous with $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ if and only if it is nonexpansive w.r.t. the diagonally weighted supremum norm

$$\|x\|_{\infty,[\eta]^{-1}} = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \frac{1}{\eta_i} |x_i|.$$

Sketch of the proof:

- Change of variable $z(t) = [\eta]^{-1}x(t)$ where $[\eta]$ denotes a diagonal matrix with the entries of η ;
- The new system z(t) is monotone and 1-subhomogeneous:
- Under monotonicity, 1-subhomogeneous is equivalent to nonexpansiveness w.r.t. | | | [R1];
- One-to-one relation between trajectories x(t) and z(t);
- The system x(t) is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, [n]^{-1}}$ iff the system z(t) is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

[R1] B. Lemmens and R. Nussbaum, Nonlinear Perron-Frobenius Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

Diego Deplano University of Cagliari, Italy

Consider a smooth system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ satisfying the following:

- the system is monotone and nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, \lceil n \rceil^{-1}}$;
- the set of equilibrium points $\mathcal{F}(f) \neq \emptyset$ is not empty.

Then all equilibrium points are stable and each trajectory converges asymptotically to one of them.

Sketch of the proof

- Change of variable $z(t) = [\eta]^{-1}x(t)$ where $[\eta]$ denotes a diagonal matrix with the entries of η ;
- The new system z(t) is monotone and nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$
- Under monotonicity, nonexpansiveness w.r.t. ||·||_∞ implies stability of equilibrium points and convergence of any trajectory [R2];
- One-to-one relation between trajectories x(t) and z(t)

[R2] D. Deplano, M. Franceschelli, and A. Giua, "Novel stability conditions for nonlinear monotone systems and consensus in multi-agent networks", IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control (2023).

Consider a smooth system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ satisfying the following:

- the system is monotone and nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, \lceil n \rceil^{-1}}$;
- the set of equilibrium points $\mathcal{F}(f) \neq \emptyset$ is not empty.

Then all equilibrium points are stable and each trajectory converges asymptotically to one of them.

Sketch of the proof:

- Change of variable $z(t) = [\eta]^{-1}x(t)$ where $[\eta]$ denotes a diagonal matrix with the entries of η ;
- The new system z(t) is monotone and nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$;
- Under monotonicity, nonexpansiveness w.r.t. ||·||_∞ implies stability of equilibrium points and convergence of any trajectory [R2];
- One-to-one relation between trajectories x(t) and z(t).

[R2] D. Deplano, M. Franceschelli, and A. Giua, "Novel stability conditions for nonlinear monotone systems and consensus in multi-agent networks", IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control (2023).

Diego Deplano

How to practically use these results?

Result

For a smooth monotone system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$, the following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,[\eta]^{-1}}$
- (b) the system is η -subhomogeneous
- (c) the vector field satisfies $f(x + \alpha \eta) \le f(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \ge 0$;
- (d) the Jacobian satisfies $Df(x)\eta \leq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

How to practically use these results?

Result 3

For a smooth monotone system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,[\eta]^{-1}}$;
- (b) the system is η -subhomogeneous;
- (c) the vector field satisfies $f(x + \alpha \eta) \le f(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \ge 0$;
- (d) the Jacobian satisfies $Df(x)\eta \leq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Diego Deplano University of Cagliari, Italy

A simple example

Consider the class of dynamical systems $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ on \mathbb{R}^2 with dynamics:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1(t) + \alpha x_2(t) - \gamma g(x_1) \\ \beta x_1(t) - x_2(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$ and $q: \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is C^1 such that q(0) = 0 and $q'(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$Df(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 - \gamma \frac{d}{dt} g(x_1) & \alpha \\ \beta & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{cases} -(1+\gamma \frac{d}{dt}g(x_1(t)))\eta_1 + \alpha \eta_2 \le 0 \\ \beta \eta_1 - \eta_2 \le 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \eta_2 \in [\beta \eta_1, \frac{1}{\alpha} \eta_1].$$

Diego Deplano

A simple example

Consider the class of dynamical systems $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ on \mathbb{R}^2 with dynamics:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1(t) + \alpha x_2(t) - \gamma g(x_1) \\ \beta x_1(t) - x_2(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ is C^1 such that g(0) = 0 and $g'(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• Monotonicity holds because the Jacobian Df(x(t)) of the vector field is Metzler, indeed,

$$Df(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 - \gamma \frac{d}{dt} g(x_1) & \alpha \\ \beta & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

• η -subhomogeneity holds for $\alpha\beta \leq 1$, indeed,

$$\begin{cases} -(1+\gamma \frac{d}{dt}g(x_1(t)))\eta_1 + \alpha \eta_2 \le 0 \\ \beta \eta_1 - \eta_2 \le 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \eta_2 \in [\beta \eta_1, \frac{1}{\alpha}\eta_1].$$

NB: For $\alpha=0.5$ and $\beta=2$ the system is nonexpansive but "non-contracting" Yet, the system converges to one of the equilibrium points.

Diego Deplano

A simple example

Consider the class of dynamical systems $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ on \mathbb{R}^2 with dynamics:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1(t) + \alpha x_2(t) - \gamma g(x_1) \\ \beta x_1(t) - x_2(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ is C^1 such that g(0) = 0 and $g'(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• Monotonicity holds because the Jacobian $Df(m{x}(t))$ of the vector field is Metzler, indeed,

$$Df(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 - \gamma \frac{d}{dt} g(x_1) & \alpha \\ \beta & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

• η -subhomogeneity holds for $\alpha\beta \leq 1$, indeed,

$$\begin{cases} -(1+\gamma \frac{d}{dt}g(x_1(t)))\eta_1 + \alpha \eta_2 \le 0 \\ \beta \eta_1 - \eta_2 \le 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \eta_2 \in [\beta \eta_1, \frac{1}{\alpha}\eta_1].$$

NB: For $\alpha=0.5$ and $\beta=2$ the system is nonexpansive but "non-contracting". Yet, the system converges to one of the equilibrium points.

Diego Deplano

Outline

- 3 Application to Recurrent Neural Networks

We consider two models of RNNs [R3], the Hopfield and the firing-rate models, with dynamics

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = f_{\mathrm{H}}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) \coloneqq -C\boldsymbol{x}(t) + A\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) + \boldsymbol{b},\tag{1}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = f_{\text{FR}}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) \coloneqq -C\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \Phi(A\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \boldsymbol{b}), \tag{2}$$

where $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a positive diagonal matrix, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an arbitrary matrix, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a constant input, and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ is an activation function satisfying the followign assumption.

Assumption 1

Activation functions are diagonal, i.e., $\Phi(x) = [\phi_1(x_1), \cdots, \phi_n(x_n)]^{\mathsf{T}}$ where each $\phi_i : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable and globally Lipschitz, i.e., there exists finite $d_1 \leq d_2$ such that for all $i=1,\ldots,n$ it holds

$$\frac{d}{dx}\phi_i(x) \in [d_1, d_2], \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the Lipschitz constant is given by $\overline{d} = \max\{|d_1|, |d_2|\}.$

[R3] A. Davydov, A.V. Proskurnikov, F. Bullo, "Non-Euclidean contraction analysis of continuous-time neural networks", IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 2024.

Consider Hopfield and firing-rate neural networks as in eqs. (1)-(2) with activation function satisfying Assumption 1. Let $A_{\star} = \min\{d_1A, d_2A\}$ and $A^{\star} = \max\{d_1A, d_2A\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- A_⋆ is Metzler (monotonicity);
- $\exists \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : (A^* C)\eta \leq \mathbf{0} \ (\eta$ -subhomogeneity).

Then, all their trajectories converge to some equilibrium point, if any exists.

Some examples of nonexpansive RNNs that are nonexpansive but not contracting can be found for any nonnegative matrix $A \ge 0$ and choosing:

- ① $C = \lambda_{\text{MAX}}I$, where λ_{MAX} is the largest eigenvalue of A. In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \|_{v^{-1}}$ where v is the eigenvector associated with λ_{MAX} ;
- \bigcirc C = diag(A1). In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$;
- (a) $C = \operatorname{diag}((A\eta))[\eta]^{-1}$ for any $\eta \ge 0$. In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\eta^{-1}}$.

NR: This result holds for a class of RRNs that is not considered in the state-of-the-art.

Diego Deplano

Consider Hopfield and firing-rate neural networks as in eqs. (1)-(2) with activation function satisfying Assumption 1. Let $A_{\star} = \min\{d_1A, d_2A\}$ and $A^{\star} = \max\{d_1A, d_2A\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- A_⋆ is Metzler (monotonicity);
- $\exists \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : (A^* C)\eta \leq \mathbf{0} \ (\eta$ -subhomogeneity).

Then, all their trajectories converge to some equilibrium point, if any exists.

Some examples of nonexpansive RNNs that are nonexpansive but not contracting can be found for any nonnegative matrix $A \ge 0$ and choosing:

- ① $C = \lambda_{\text{MAX}}I$, where λ_{MAX} is the largest eigenvalue of A. In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, v^{-1}}$ where v is the eigenvector associated with λ_{MAX} ;
- 2 C = diag(A1). In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$;
- **3** $C = \operatorname{diag}((A\eta))[\eta]^{-1}$ for any $\eta \geq 0$. In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\eta^{-1}}$.

Diego Deplano University of Cagliari, Italy

Consider Hopfield and firing-rate neural networks as in eqs. (1)-(2) with activation function satisfying Assumption 1. Let $A_{\star} = \min\{d_1A, d_2A\}$ and $A^{\star} = \max\{d_1A, d_2A\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- A_⋆ is Metzler (monotonicity);
- $\exists \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : (A^* C)\eta \leq \mathbf{0} \ (\eta$ -subhomogeneity).

Then, all their trajectories converge to some equilibrium point, if any exists.

Some examples of nonexpansive RNNs that are nonexpansive but not contracting can be found for any nonnegative matrix $A \ge 0$ and choosing:

- ① $C = \lambda_{\text{MAX}}I$, where λ_{MAX} is the largest eigenvalue of A. In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, v^{-1}}$ where v is the eigenvector associated with λ_{MAX} ;
- ② C = diag(A1). In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$;
- **3** $C = \operatorname{diag}((A\eta))[\eta]^{-1}$ for any $\eta \ge 0$. In this case, the system is nonexpansive w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\eta^{-1}}$.

NB: This result holds for a class of RRNs that is not considered in the state-of-the-art.

Diego Deplano University of Cagliari, Italy

Outline

- 4 Conclusions

Contribution 1

- Smooth monotone systems are subhomogeneous if and only if they are nonexpansive w.r.t. a diagonally weighted sup-norm:
- Trajectories of smooth monotone systems that are nonexpansive w.r.t. a diagonally weighted sup-norm converge toward equilibrium points, if any exist:
- Necessary and sufficient conditions for subhomogeneity (and, in turn, nonexpansiveness) are given in terms of the Jacobian matrix of their vector field

Contribution 1

- Smooth monotone systems are subhomogeneous if and only if they are nonexpansive w.r.t. a diagonally weighted sup-norm:
- Trajectories of smooth monotone systems that are nonexpansive w.r.t. a diagonally weighted sup-norm converge toward equilibrium points, if any exist:
- Necessary and sufficient conditions for subhomogeneity (and, in turn, nonexpansiveness) are given in terms of the Jacobian matrix of their vector field

Contribution 2

Application to Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) with Hopfield and firing-rate dynamics:

Monotonicity and subhomogeneity of these neural networks ensure convergence of their state trajectories even if their dynamics are not contractive.

Open questions and future directions

- Do these results hold also for Lipschitz dynamical systems (not necessarily continuously differentiable)?
- How nonexpansiveness is related to subhomogeneity when monotonicity does not hold?
- What is the relation with monotone operators in functional analysis?











Stability of Nonexpansive Monotone Systems and Application to Recurrent Neural Networks

Thank you for your attention!

Diego Deplano

Email: diego.deplano@unica.it Webpage: https://diegodeplano.github.io/

